

Association of patient health literacy with the decision to pursue trial of labor after cesarean

Daisy León-Martínez MD¹, Anjali J. Kaimal MD MAS², William A Grobman MD MBA³, Miriam Kuppermann PhD MPH¹

<sup>1</sup>Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, University of California San Francisco
<sup>2</sup>Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, University of South Florida, <sup>3</sup>Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, The Ohio State University



University of California San Francisco

## **Objective**

• To analyze the association of health literacy with electing a trial of labor (TOLAC) and having a vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC).

## **Study Design**

- Secondary analysis of a multicenter randomized trial of pregnant people with one prior cesarean and no prior VBAC between 2016-2019.
- Randomized at <25 weeks' gestation: usual care vs tablet-based decision support tool focused on TOLAC choice.
- Primary outcome: delivery approach (TOLAC vs scheduled repeat cesarean)
- Secondary outcome: mode of delivery (VBAC vs repeat cesarean section)
- Primary predictor: health literacy (evaluated at baseline using the *Newest Vital Sign* tool)
- Logistic regression (adjusting for primary language, age, recruitment site, and prior vaginal delivery).

## Results

- 1455 participants (n=396 limited- and n=1059 adequatehealth literacy) were included
- 44.6% had a TOLAC
- 71.0% of those who had a TOLAC had a VBAC
- Compared to participants with higher health literacy, those with limited health literacy had lower odds of undergoing TOLAC and VBAC:
- TOLAC: aOR 0.69, 95% CI [0.51, 0.94], p=0.020
- VBAC: aOR 0.54, 95% CI [0.33, 0.88], p=0.013
- Prespecified analyses examining interactions between health literacy and treatment group or recruitment site showed no significant effect modification (p>= 0.10 for all)

## Conclusion

- Those with limited health literacy had lower odds of TOLAC and VBAC.
- Given low rates of VBAC in the US and morbidity associated with repeat cesarean delivery, further research is needed to inform patient- and providercentered interventions to ensure that people of all health literacy levels have the support needed to make an informed, values concordant choice.

Among pregnant people with a prior cesarean and no prior VBAC, those with limited health literacy had lower odds of TOLAC and VBAC.



Questions?
Take a picture of this QR code to access to the poster or email Dr. León-Martínez at daisy.leon-martinez@ucsf.edu

	<b>Limited health</b>	Adequate health		
Characteristics <sup>a</sup>	literacy b (n=396)	literacy b (n=1059)	p-value	
Age, mean (SD), y	31.9 (5.1)	34.9 (4.0)	< 0.001	
Race or ethnic group			< 0.001	
African American or Black	66 (57.4%)	49 (42.6%)		
Asian or Pacific Islander	57 (26.4%)	159 (73.6%)		
Caucasian, White or European American	83 (10.4%)	716 (89.6%)		
Latina, Latin American, or Hispanic	164 (68.3%)	76 (31.7%)		
Multi- or bi-racial/ethnic	14 (29.2%)	34 (70.8%)		
Other <sup>c</sup>	12 (32.4%)	25 (67.6%)		
Non-English Primary Language	164 (66.1%)	84 (33.9%)	< 0.001	
Opted for Spanish language interview	91 (88.4%)	12 (11.7%)	< 0.001	
Educational attainment			< 0.001	
Less than a high school degree	38 (97.4%)	1 (2.6%)		
High school graduate, GED or equivalent	88 (87.1%)	13 (12.9%)		
Some college, junior college, vocational school	87 (51.8%)	81 (48.2%)		
College graduate (BA, BS)	118 (21.5%)	432 (78.6%)		
Professional or graduate degree	65 (10.9%)	532 (89.1%)		
Relationship status			< 0.001	
Married or living with partner	331 (24.3%)	1032 (75.7%)		
Partnered, not living together	30 (63.8%)	17 (36.2%)		
Single/not significantly involved	35 (77.8%)	10 (22.2%)		
Yearly household income			< 0.001	
Under \$25,000	84 (84.0%)	16 (16.0%)		
\$25,000 - \$50,000	99 (76.2%)	31 (23.9%)		
\$50,001 - \$100,000	70 (35.5%)	127 (64.5%)		
\$100,001 - \$200,000	67 (15.1%)	378 (84.9%)		
Over \$200,000	38 (7.3%)	484 (92.7%)		
Don't know	32 (68.1%)	15 (31.9%)		
Decline to answer	6 (46.2%)	7 (53.9%)		
Insurance			< 0.001	
Private insurance	194 (16.5%)	985 (83.6%)		
Public insurance	200 (75.5%)	65 (24.5%)		
Other, specify	2 (20.0%)	8 (80.0%)		
Pre-pregnancy BMI, median (IQR), kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.4 (23.4, 32.0)	24.4 (21.7, 28.1)	<0.001	
Prior vaginal delivery	43 (50.6%)	42 (49.4%)	< 0.001	

Table 2. Primary and secondary outcomes, univariate and multivariate analysis									
	Limited								
	health	<b>Adequate</b>	<b>Crude odds</b>		<b>Adjusted odds</b>				
	literacy	health literacy	ratio	p-	ratio <sup>d</sup>	p-			
Outcomes	(n= 394) a, b	(n=1051) <sup>a,b</sup>	(95% CI)	value	(95% CI)	value			
Primary Outcome: delivery approach									
	172/394	473/1051	0.95		0.69				
Trial of labor after cesarean	(43.65)	(45.00)	(0.75, 1.20)	0.646	(0.51, 0.94)	0.020			
Secondary Outcome: delivery mode									
Vaginal birth after cesarean									
delivery among those that	112/172	346/473	0.69		0.54				
underwent TOLAC	(65.12)	(73.15)	(0.47, 1.00)	0.047	(0.33, 0.88)	0.013			

- <sup>a</sup> Data reported as n(%) of participants unless otherwise indicated
- b Includes Middle Eastern, North African, South Asian, Caribbean, Turkish, non-Latina South American, and Jewish
- <sup>c</sup> Limited health literacy (NVS score ≤4/6), adequate health literacy (NVS score ≥5/6)
- d Adjusted for participant primary language, enrollment site location, mean-centered age, and history of prior vaginal delivery