

# High-dose misoprostol with subsequent Foley versus low-dose misoprostol with concurrent Foley for cervical ripening

Arthurine Zakama MD, Nasim C Sobhani MD, Robyn Lamar MD, Melissa G Rosenstein MD MAS Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Science, University of California, San Francisco

#### Background

• The FOR MOMI trial showed low-dose misoprostol with concurrent Foley increased likelihood of vaginal delivery and shortened the median time to delivery when compared to either method alone, prompting many institutions to adopt this protocol

#### Objective

• To compare labor outcomes with low-dose misoprostol and concurrent Foley high-dose misoprostol and versus subsequent Foley

# **Study Design**

- Retrospective before-and-after study of nulliparous women with non-anomalous, singletons with term deliveries at a single institution in two separate two-year periods before and after changes in IOL protocol:
- **High-dose**: 50-100 mcg PO every 4 hours with subsequent Foley between May 2015 – April 2017
- Low-dose: 25 mcg PO every 2 hours with concurrent Foley between June 2017 – May 2019
- **Primary outcome**: time from misoprostol start to delivery
- Kruskal-Wallis test compared median values, and chi-squared tests compared proportions. Multivariate logistic regression generated adjusted odds ratios
- aOR were adjusted for maternal age, parity, DM, and. HTN.

#### Results

- 1,496 women met the inclusion criteria.
- n = 698 in high-dose group
- n = 798 in low-dose group
- · No statistically significant differences in
- I me to delivery 29.1 hr high-dose vs 29.9 hr low-dose (P = 0.67)
- Rate of any cesarean 30% high-dose vs 26% low-dose (P = 0.08) RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.74-1.02) aOR 0.8 (95% CI 0.63-1.00; P = 0.06)
- Rate of cesarean for fetal indications 11% high-dose vs 8% low-dose (P = 0.145) RR 0.8 (95% CI 0.56-1.08) aOR 0.7 (95% CI 0.52-1.05, P = 0.09)

# **Conclusion**

- No difference in time to vaginal delivery or likelihood of vaginal delivery with high-dose misoprostol + subsequent Foley versus low-dose misoprostol + concurrent Foley
- Shared-decision making model may be applied regarding timing of Foley catheter placement and dosing of misoprostol

delivery



**Questions?** Take a picture of this QR code to access the poster or email Dr. Zakama at Arthurine.zakama@UCSF.edu

# mplementing an evidence-based cervical ripening protocol did not change time to

### Maternal age (yrs) Race Caucasian African American Hispanic Asian Other Unknown Obese (BMI > $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) Chronic hypertension Pregnancy-induced hypertension Pre-existing diabetes Gestational diabetes Fetal growth restriction Placental abruption Gestational age at delivery (wks) 39

High-dose misoprostol

\*Required oxytocin augmentation

Any cesarear

Cesarean for fetal indication

\*Postpartum hemorrhage

Blood transfusion  $= \frac{6\%}{6\%}$ 

Chorioamnionitis

5-minute Apgar < 7



\*NICU admit \*Statistically significant difference between groups

0%

Time from misoprostol start to delivery (hr)

Cumulative misoprostol dose (mcg)

Number of misoprostol administrations

Time from Pitocin start to delivery (hr)





#### University of California San Francisco

High-dose nisoprostol (n = 698)	Low-dose misoprostol (n = 798)	P value
33 (30-37)	34 (31-37)	0.210
354 (51%) 33 (5%) 63 (9%) 161 (23%) 78 (11%) 9 (1%)	431 (54%) 31. (4%) 67 (8%) 182 (23%) 80 (10%) 7 (1%)	0.757
97 (14%)	95 (12%)	0.250
49 (7%)	49 (6%)	0.493
256 (37%)	225 (28%)	< 0.001
11 (2%)	14 (2%)	0.788
185 (27%)	205 (26%)	0.720
28 (3%)	27 (3%)	0.567
11 (2%)	16 (2%)	0.534
.9 (38.7-41.1)	39.9 (39.0-41.0)	0.882

Low-dose misoprostol

High-dose misoprostol (n = 698)	Low-dose misoprostol (n = 798)	P value
29.1 (20.5-41.7)	29.9 (20.1-40.5)	0.689
150 (50-250)	100 (50-150)	0.0001
2 (1-3)	4 (2-6)	0.0001
16 (9.27-22.3)	15 (9.70-21.78)	0.817